

Self-evaluation– Karsten Moholt AS group

The first thing we think about when we are reflecting over our thesis is that communication is important. The thesis was changed from what we originally were supposed to write about (Maintenance and Asset Management in an offshore fish farm) to what it is today without getting informed until starting the work on the thesis in January. Of course, we adapted to the change to give Karsten Moholt AS what they want. But, because the communication with our employer was inconsistent and at times unclear, this led to the project not being properly defined before a couple of months into the new year. This initiated a period of confusion and some frustration, and underlined how important good communication is.

One thing we would have liked to do different is to interview more of the employees in Karsten Moholt AS. But since our project was defined late in the new year this was not possible, not only because we were not able to be in the offices of Karsten Moholt AS but also because time became limited to plan online meetings with employees.

In the end we have a feeling that the changes to the project made it somewhat less relevant for our engineering discipline. With that said, the changes led to many challenges that had to be handled, which again led to an educational project. Among other things, we had to research and evaluate a lot of scientific articles, and we have learned a lot about management and how to put together a team from the ground up.

As a group we have worked very well together. There have occurred some disagreements during the project, but they have all been resolved accordingly. We found early on the best form of work for us, to work together in person, and stuck with this throughout the project.

When it comes to dividing the work, this has not been something that we have specifically thought about while working. We started the project by making a structure for the thesis with headlines and potential content for each chapter. After this we worked through the structure starting from the top, sometimes together and sometimes alone, but helped each other when needed.

The thesis had some deviation from the progression plan/time schedule in the beginning because of the changes stated earlier, in addition to meetings being postponed. But all in all, the project has had a steady progress and, we have complemented each other in a good way and been very disciplined.

Åsmund S. Herskedal

Åsmund Seim Herskedal

Silje Furnes Pettersen

Silje Furnes Pettersen

Peter Storegjerde Skogen

Peter Storegjerde Skogen