Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research Publish Ahead of Print
DOI: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001826

ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF BARBELL DEADLIFT, HEX BAR DEADLIFT AND HIP THRUST EXERCISES: A CROSS-OVER STUDY

Running head: Muscle activation in hip-extensor exercises

Vidar Andersen[1]✉, Marius Steiro Fimland[2], Dag-Andrè Mo[1], Vegard Moe Iversen[2], Torbjørn Vederhus[1], Lars Richard Rockland Hellebø[1], Kristina Isabel Nordaune[1] and Atle Hole Saeterbakken[1]

[1] Faculty of Teacher Education and Sport, Sogn og Fjordane University College, Norway.

[2] Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway.

This study was conducted without any funding from companies or manufacturers or outside organizations.

✉**Corresponding author and address:**

Vidar Andersen.

Faculty of Teacher Education and Sport

Sogn og Fjordane University College

PB 133

6851 Sogndal

Norway

E-mail: vidar.andersen@hisf.no
Phone: +47 57 67 61 64

Fax: +47 57 67 63 33

ABSTRACT

The aim of the study was to compare the muscle activation level of the gluteus maximus, biceps femoris and erector spinae in the hip thrust, barbell deadlift and hex bar deadlift; each of which are compound resisted hip-extension exercises. After two familiarization sessions, 13 resistance-trained men performed a 1-RM in all three exercises in one session, in randomized and counterbalanced order. The whole ascending movement (concentric phase), as well as its lower and upper part (whole movement divided in two), were analyzed. The hip thrust induced greater activation of the gluteus maximus compared to the hex bar deadlift in the whole (16%, p=0.025) and the upper part (26%, p=0.015) of the movement. For the whole movement, the biceps femoris was more activated during barbell deadlift compared to both the hex bar deadlift (28%, p<0.001) and hip thrust (20%, p=0.005). In the lower part of the movement, biceps femoris activation was respectively 48% and 26% higher for the barbell deadlift (p<0.001) and hex bar deadlift (p=0.049) compared to hip thrust. Biceps femoris activation in the upper part of the movement was 39% higher for the barbell deadlift compared to the hex bar deadlift (p=0.001) and 34% higher for the hip thrust compared to the hex bar deadlift (p=0.002). No differences were displayed for erector spinae activation (p=0.312-0.859). In conclusion, the barbell deadlift was clearly superior in activating the biceps femoris compared to the hex bar deadlift and hip thrust, whereas the hip thrust provided the highest gluteus maximus activation.

Keywords: Muscle activation, resistance training, gluteus maximus, biceps femoris, erector spinae

INTRODUCTION

Strong and powerful hip extensor muscles are essential for sport performance, activities of daily living and injury prevention (9, 18, 19). Commonly used compound resisted hip extension exercises are the squat, deadlift and hip thrust. Furthermore, to optimize the activation of specific muscles or for the purpose of variation in a periodized resistance-training program, it is common to perform different variations of the same exercise (1-3). This can be done for example by moving the placement of the load horizontally (e.g. front squat vs back squat) relative to the axis of rotation (e.g. the hip joint). This would change the biomechanical demands of an exercise, altering the torque production around the active joints and thus probably influencing activation of the muscles involved. In line with this notion, a study by Yavuz et al. (21) observed higher quadriceps- and lower hamstring activation for the front squat versus back squat, although this pattern was not observed in two other studies (8, 11).

It could be expected that horizontal movement of the load also would affect muscle activation in the deadlift. However, a kinematic analysis revealed that the squat and deadlift have quite different movement patterns (12), thus findings from comparisons of squat variations cannot necessarily be inferred to seemingly similar deadlift comparisons. The hex bar deadlift is a variant of the barbell deadlift where one steps inside a hexagonally shaped bar. This allows for a more upright posture where the hip joint is closer to the trajectory line of the weights, reducing the resistive torque about the hip joint. Accordingly, Swinton et al.

(20) reported lower peak moments in the lumbar spine for hex bar- versus barbell deadlift, and Camara et al. (4) observed that the barbell deadlift to a greater extent activated the biceps femoris and the erector spinae. However, these studies did not asses muscle activation of the prime hip extensor gluteus maximus muscle.

Recently, the barbell hip thrust has become a popular exercise for training the gluteus muscles. Unlike standing barbell exercises like the deadlift or squat, the tension on the hip extensors is at its greatest near lockout in the hip thrust (5). It could therefore be expected that the gluteus maximus would be more activated particularly in the end range of the movement in the hip thrust compared to the deadlift. In the only study comparing the hip thrust to standing hip extensor exercises, Contreras et al. (6) reported higher activation in the gluteus muscles and the biceps femoris compared to squats.

The aim of the study was to compare the muscle activation levels of the gluteus maximus, biceps femoris and erector spinae during a one-repetition maximum (1-RM) in the hip thrust, barbell deadlift and hex bar deadlift.

METHODS

Experimental approach to the problem

A within-subject, cross-over design was used to compare muscle activation levels in the gluteus maximus, biceps femoris and erector spinae between the hip thrust, barbell deadlift and hex bar deadlift, using 1-RM loadings (figure 1). To ensure identical positioning of the electrodes, all electromyography (EMG) data was collected in the same session. The testing order was randomized and counterbalanced. Two familiarization/strength testing sessions

were performed prior to the experimental session; the first was used to practice the technique of the exercises, whilst 1-RM tests were performed in the second.

FIGURE 1 AROUND HERE

Subjects

Thirteen healthy men (age 21.9 ± 1.6 years, body mass 81.4 ± 7.2 kg, stature 180 ± 5.0 cm) with 4.5 ± 1.9 years of strength training experience volunteered for the study. Eligible participants had to be at least 18 years of age and familiar with the relevant exercises. They could not have an injury, disease or pain that could reduce their maximal effort. The participants agreed to refrain from alcohol and resistance training of the legs in the 72 hours prior to each session. Participants were informed verbally and in writing about the procedures and provided written consent before they were included in the study. The study conformed to the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study also was conducted in accordance to the ethical guidelines to the Sogn and Fjordane University College Review Board and all appropriate consent pursuant to law was obtained before the start of the study.

Procedures

The first familiarization test was used to optimize and standardize the technique for each individual. Relevant measurements (e.g. grip and feet width) were noted and used in the subsequent sessions. In the second session 1-RM was identified for the three exercises. The sessions were performed on non-consecutive days.

The same warm-up was performed in the second familiarization- and the experimental session; after five minutes on a treadmill or a bicycle, a specific progressive warm-up in the barbell deadlift was performed: 12 repetitions at 30% of 1-RM, 10 repetitions at 50% of 1-

RM, 8 repetitions at 70% of 1-RM and 2 repetitions at 90% of 1-RM. The self-reported 1-RM was used to calculate the warm-up loads in the second familiarization session, while the 1-RM result achieved in the second familiarization test was used to decide loadings in the experimental session. A rest interval of three to five minutes was given between each lift.

During the experimental session, the 1-RM obtained in the second familiarization test was used. When necessary, the load was increased or decreased by 2.5 kg or 5 kg until 1-RM was achieved (1-3 attempts). One familiarization set consisting of four to six repetitions with a submaximal load was performed to adjust to the movement pattern of a new exercise. The testing was terminated when a lift could not be completed with proper technique (described below). Lifting straps were allowed during deadlifts.

All tests were performed on a lifting platform using an Olympic barbell (barbell deadlift and hip thrust), a hex bar and weight plates (Eleiko, Halmstad, Sweden). The width between- and the rotation of the feet were identical in all three exercises. To match the handle width on the hex bar (see figure 1), a 72 cm grip width in the barbell deadlift was used. In the deadlift variations, the lift started with the weights resting on the platform. The participants were instructed to lift the barbell while maintaining a neutral, straight back and to extend their knees and hip in one movement (to avoid a straight-leg deadlift-technique). The lift was completed when the hip was fully extended (the angle between the trunk and the thigh was approximately 180 degrees). The main difference between the two variations of deadlift was the placement of the load relative to the axis of rotation (i.e. hip joint). For the barbell deadlift, the barbell was lifted in front of the participant (figure 1), while for the hex bar deadlift, participants stood “inside” of the hex bar with the arms alongside the legs with a more upright posture (figure 1). Hence, the lever arm from the hip joint to the weight is longer during most of the lift for the barbell deadlift. In the hip thrust, the participants started in a seated position on the ground with their upper back leaning towards a bench (height: 49cm).

The barbell was placed at the crease of the hips slightly above the pelvis (5), before thrusting the barbell up until the hip was fully extended whilst maintaining a neutral, straight back. The angle of the knees was approximately 90 degrees in the upper position. The participants were not instructed to lower the weights in a controlled manner, allowing them to drop the weights from the extended position.

Electromyography

Before placing the gel coated self-adhesive electrodes (Dri-Stick Silver circular sEMG Electrodes AE-131, NeuroDyne Medical, USA), the skin was shaved, abraded and washed with alcohol. The electrodes (11 mm contact diameter and a 2 cm center-to-center distance) were placed in the presumed direction of the underlying muscle fibers on gluteus maximus, biceps femoris and erector spinae according to the recommendations by SENIAM (13), on the side of the dominant leg. For gluteus maximus, the electrodes were placed half-way between the sacral vertebrae and the greater trochanter. For biceps femoris, the electrodes were placed half-way between the ischial tuberosity and the lateral epicondyle of the tibia. Finally, the electrodes on erector spinae was located at L1, three centimeters lateral to the spinous process (13).

To minimize noise from the surroundings, the raw EMG signal was amplified and filtered using a preamplifier located close to the sampling point. The preamplifier had a common mode rejection ratio of 100 dB, high cut frequency of 600 Hz and low cut frequency of 8 Hz. The EMG signals were converted to RMS signals using a hardware circuit network (frequency response 0 - 600 kHz, averaging constant 100 ms, total error ± 0.5%). Finally, the RMS converted signal was sampled at 100 Hz using a 16 bit A/D converter. Commercial software (MuscleLab V8.13, Ergotest Technology AS, Langesund, Norway) was used to analyze the stored EMG data. The root mean square (RMS) of the mean EMG amplitude

obtained during the ascending movement of the lift was calculated . In addition, the lift was divided into the upper and lower phase of the ascending movement (vertical displacement divided in two). A linear encoder attached to the barbell identified the beginning and end of the lift, the different phases and the lifting time (Ergotest Technology AS, Langesund Norway, sampling frequency of 100 Hz). The linear encoder was synchronized with the EMG recording system (MuscleLab 4020e, Ergotest Technology AS, Langesund, Norway). After recording dynamic EMG data, two maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVCs) for all three muscles were measured. For the gluteus maximus, the participants lay in the prone position while the legs were straight. The dominant leg performed manually resisted hip extensor MVCs. For the biceps femoris, the participants, still lying in the prone position, performed knee flexor MVCs with a knee angle of approximately 45 degrees. For the erector spinae, resisted back extensor MVCs in the Biering-Sorenson position was performed (22). The participants were instructed to obtain maximal force as quickly as possible and maintain it for at least three seconds (16, 17). The MVC with the greatest average EMG amplitude over a three second window was used to normalize dynamic EMG data.

Statistical analyses

Mixed-effects linear regression models were used to compare overall and phase dependent muscle activation levels between the exercises (barbell deadlift, hex bar deadlift and hip thrust) for each muscle (gluteus maximus, biceps femoris and erector spinae). Normalized EMG was the dependent variable in the model, while exercise and phase of the movement (lower and upper), as well as their interaction term, were included as fixed effects. We also included a random intercept for participant identity (allowing participants to start out at different levels). Lifting time, subject height, and years of training experience were treated as

potential confounders and added to the model using a forward approach. Variables were considered confounders if they induced >10% change in the regression coefficients. P-values (two-tailed) < 0.05 were considered statistically significant, while 95% confidence intervals were used to assess the precision of the estimates. For all models the regression residuals were visually inspected regarding normality of distribution (qq-plots and histogram). Statistical analyses were conducted in STATA/IC 13.1 for windows (StataCorp LP, USA).

RESULTS

All regression residuals appeared to be normally distributed with qq-plots, but histograms displayed non-normality for some of the random effect residuals. Thus, all analyses were performed using both non-transformed and log-transformed variables. As the results did not differ, the final analyses were performed with non-transformed variables. Lifting time was identified as a confounder and adjusted for in the analyses.

For the whole ascending movement, the gluteus maximus activation was 16% higher in the hip thrust compared to the hex bar deadlift (p=0.025, figure 2). Further, the biceps femoris activation was 28% higher in the barbell deadlift compared to the hex bar deadlift (p<0.001) and 20% higher in the barbell deadlift compared to the hip thrust (p=0.005). No significant differences were displayed for erector spinae activations (p=0.375-0.750).

FIGURE 2 AROUND HERE

The gluteus maximus activation was 26% higher in the upper part of the movement during the hip thrust compared to the hex bar deadlift (p=0.015, figure 3). The biceps femoris activation was higher in the lower part of the movement for the barbell deadlift compared to the hip thrust (48%, p<0.001) and for the hex bar deadlift compared to the hip thrust (26%,

p=0.049. Further, the biceps femoris activation in the upper part of the movement was 39% higher for the barbell deadlift compared to the hex bar deadlift (*p*=0.001) and 34% higher for the hip thrust compared to the hex bar deadlift (*p*=0.002). No significant differences were displayed for erector spinae activations (*p*=0.312-0.859).

FIGURE 3 AROUND HERE

The 1-RM in the hip thrust (176.6 ± 32.4 kg) was higher than for both the barbell (150.6 ± 24.2 kg, *p*=0.001) and the hex bar deadlift (153.5 ± 22.4 kg, *p*<0.001). There were similar lifting times for the exercises: barbell deadlift: 2.28 ± 0.91 sec, hex bar deadlift: 1.98 ± 0.59 sec, hip thrust: 2.02 ± 0.55 sec.

DISCUSSION

The main results of the study were that for the whole movement: 1) the hip thrust induced higher activation of the gluteus maximus compared to the hex bar deadlift, 2) the barbell deadlift provided higher activation of the biceps femoris vs. the hex bar and hip thrust, and 3) all exercises had similar erector spinae activations.

The hip thrust induced higher EMG activity in the gluteus maximus than the hex bar deadlift in the whole- and particularly during the upper phase of the movement. Similarly, Contreras et al. (6) reported higher activation of the gluteus maximus when they compared the hip thrust with the back squat, which despite being a different exercise is relatively similar to the hex bar deadlift. These findings are probably due to the higher tension on the hip extensors in the hip thrust versus the hex bar deadlift and back squat exercises, in the end range of the movement (5). However, we did not observe a significant difference in gluteus maximus activation between the hip thrust and barbell deadlift, although there was an 8% and

13% difference in the mean EMG activity of the whole- and upper part of the movement, respectively, in favor of the hip thrust.

According to a biomechanical analysis, the barbell deadlift stresses the hip more than the hex bar deadlift, because the bar is lifted in front of the legs and the back acts as a longer lever-arm (20). However, we observed similar gluteus maximus activation levels for the two deadlift variants. This finding is in line with two previous studies on the front- versus back squat (8, 21) which is analogous to our comparison of barbell deadlift and the hex bar deadlift, since both vary the positioning of the load horizontally relative to the hip joint. Nonetheless, the biceps femoris, which also acts as a hip extensor was more activated by the barbell deadlift than the hex bar and hip thrust.

That the barbell deadlift provided higher biceps femoris activation than the hip thrust in the whole movement was due to the substantial difference between the two in the first half of the movement. In the start of the lift during the barbell deadlift, the lever arm from the hip joint to the load is at its longest, creating a lot of stress on the hip extensor muscles. Conversely, in the hip thrust, the active muscle force in the gluteus maximus and hamstrings is higher towards the end of the movement than in the start. Another possible explanation could be the initial muscle length. In the barbell deadlift the knees are more extended in the beginning of the movement compared to the hip thrust, and therefore increasing the muscles` ability to generate force (14).

The barbell deadlift also produced greater biceps femoris activation than the hex bar deadlift. This finding is in accordance with Camara et al (4) who compared muscle activity in the barbell deadlift and hex bar deadlift with submaximal loading among resistance trained men. They found a 15% higher activation of the biceps femoris during the concentric movement. One reason could be the increased lever arm and therefore higher torque created

around the hip (20). Another could be that the biceps femoris´ role as a hip extensor is greater when the knees are close to fully extended (12). However, although activations were higher in both phases for the barbell deadlift, the difference only reached statistical significance for the upper phase.

For the whole movement, there were no difference in biceps femoris activation between the hip thrust and the hex bar deadlift. However, analyzing the different phases showed opposite results as the hex bar deadlift induced higher EMG in the lower phase whereas the hip thrust elicited greater EMG in the upper phase. In the upper phase, the muscle activation was substantially increased for the hip thrust – probably due to the increased hip torque requirement in the end range of this horizontally loaded exercise, whereas it slightly declined for the hex bar deadlift. Although not entirely comparable, Contreras et al. (6) found higher biceps femoris activation in the whole movement phase of the hip thrust versus back squat.

We found similarly high activation levels of the erector spinae for all exercises. Our finding was supported by Camara et al. (4) who found no differences for the erector spinae between the barbell and the hex bar deadlift. Furthermore, neither Gullett et al. (11) nor Yavuz et al. (21) found any differences in erector spinae activation when they compared the front and the back squat. Therefore, it seems that the horisontal positioning of the load relative to the hip does not influence erector spinae EMG amplitude much.

This study has some limitations. Only resistance-trained men were recruited and the results can therefore not necessarily be generalized to other populations. Additionally, maximal loading was used in all tests and it is possible that the relative contribution from the muscles involved would have differed with submaximal loadings. Further, the optimal bench height for the hip thrust has not been determined. The bench used was 49 centimeters high,

which may have been suboptimal for some or all of our participants. Furthermore, some type II errors might have occurred as only 13 participants were recruited, limiting the statistical power of the study. Additionally, we intended to also include the quadriceps muscles, however; several participants were not able to use their preferred technique without scraping the barbell against the electrodes in the barbell deadlift with 1-RM loading, thus the quadriceps EMG recordings were omitted. The MVC for the gluteus maximus was performed with a straight leg hip extension instead of the prone bent leg extension which could have provided higher EMG activation (7, 8). However, this would not change the results of the comparisons between the exercises in the study. Moreover, surface EMG gives only an estimate of the neuromuscular activation and there will always be a possible risk of crosstalk from surrounding muscles (10). The EMG data was also collected during dynamic contractions which have more potential sources for error than isometric contractions (10). Importantly, all EMG data was collected in the same session which substantially reduces the potential for error (15).

In conclusion, the barbell deadlift was clearly superior in activating the biceps femoris compared to the hex bar deadlift and hip thrust, whereas the hip thrust provided the highest gluteus maximus activation. There were no differences between the exercises for erector spinae activation.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Appropriate exercise selection is important when designing resistance training programs. During a lift with maximum loading, the hip thrust was the exercise that provided the highest muscle activation for the gluteus maximus, particularly in the upper phase of the movement where standing exercises have decreased tension on the hip extensors. The barbell

deadlift was clearly more effective in activating the biceps femoris than the hip thrust and hex bar deadlift. The hex bar deadlift generally provided the lowest muscle activation for these muscles. For optimal hip extensor strength development we therefore recommend including both the hip thrust and barbell deadlift exercises.

REFERENCES

1. ACSM. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Progression models in resistance training for healthy adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41: 687-708, 2009.
2. Andersen V, Fimland MS, Brennset O, Haslestad LR, Lundteigen MS, Skalleberg K, and Saeterbakken AH. Muscle activation and strength in squat and bulgarian squat on stable and unstable surface. *Int J Sports Med* 35: 1196-1202, 2014.
3. Andersen V, Fimland MS, Kolnes MK, and Saeterbakken AH. Elastic Bands in Combination With Free Weights in Strength Training: Neuromuscular Effects. *J Strength Cond Res* 29: 2932-2940, 2015.
4. Camara KD, Coburn JW, Dunnick DD, Brown LE, Galpin AJ, and Costa PB. An Examination of Muscle Activation and Power Characteristics While Performing the Deadlift Exercise With Straight and Hexagonal Barbells. *J Strength Cond Res* 30: 1183-1188, 2016.
5. Contreras B, Cronin J, and Schoenfeld B. Barbell Hip Thrust. *Strength and Conditioning Journal* 33: 58-61, 2011.
6. Contreras B, Vigotsky AD, Schoenfeld BJ, Beardsley C, and Cronin J. A Comparison of Gluteus Maximus, Biceps Femoris, and Vastus Lateralis Electromyographic Activity in the Back Squat and Barbell Hip Thrust Exercises. *J Appl Biomech* 31: 452-458, 2015.
7. Contreras B, Vigotsky AD, Schoenfeld BJ, Beardsley C, and Cronin J. A Comparison of Gluteus Maximus, Biceps Femoris, and Vastus Lateralis Electromyography Amplitude for the Barbell, Band, and American Hip Thrust Variations. *J Appl Biomech* 32: 254-260, 2016.

8. Contreras B, Vigotsky AD, Schoenfeld BJ, Beardsley C, and Cronin J. A Comparison of Gluteus Maximus, Biceps Femoris, and Vastus Lateralis Electromyography Amplitude in the Parallel, Full, and Front Squat Variations in Resistance-Trained Females. *J Appl Biomech* 32: 16-22, 2016.

9. Contreras B, Vigotsky AD, Schoenfeld BJ, Beardsley C, McMaster DT, Reyneke J, and Cronin J. Effects of a six-week hip thrust versus front squat resistance training program on performance in adolescent males: A randomized-controlled trial. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2016.

10. Farina D. Interpretation of the surface electromyogram in dynamic contractions. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 34: 121-127, 2006.

11. Gullett JC, Tillman MD, Gutierrez GM, and Chow JW. A biomechanical comparison of back and front squats in healthy trained individuals. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 284-292, 2009.

12. Hales ME, Johnson BF, and Johnson JT. Kinematic analysis of the powerlifting style squat and the conventional deadlift during competition: is there a cross-over effect between lifts? *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 2574-2580, 2009.

13. Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Disselhorst-Klug C, and Rau G. Development of recommendations for SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 10: 361-374, 2000.

14. Kwon YJ and Lee HO. How different knee flexion angles influence the hip extensor in the prone position. *J Phys Ther Sci* 25: 1295-1297, 2013.

15. Mathiassen SE, Winkel J, and Hagg GM. Normalization of surface EMG amplitude from the upper trapezius muscle in ergonomic studies - A review. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 5: 197-226, 1995.

16. McBride JM, Cormie P, and Deane R. Isometric squat force output and muscle activity in stable and unstable conditions. *J Strength Cond Res* 20: 915-918, 2006.

17. McGill SM and Marshall LW. Kettlebell swing, snatch, and bottoms-up carry: back and hip muscle activation, motion, and low back loads. *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 16-27, 2012.

18. Rowe J, Shafer L, Kelley K, West N, Dunning T, Smith R, and Mattson DJ. Hip strength and knee pain in females. *N Am J Sports Phys Ther* 2: 164-169, 2007.

19. Souza RB and Powers CM. Differences in hip kinematics, muscle strength, and muscle activation between subjects with and without patellofemoral pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 39: 12-19, 2009.

20. Swinton PA, Stewart A, Agouris I, Keogh JW, and Lloyd R. A biomechanical analysis of straight and hexagonal barbell deadlifts using submaximal loads. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 2000-2009, 2011.

21. Yavuz HU, Erdag D, Amca AM, and Aritan S. Kinematic and EMG activities during front and back squat variations in maximum loads. *J Sports Sci* 33: 1058-1066, 2015.

22. Zebis MK, Skotte J, Andersen CH, Mortensen P, Petersen HH, Viskaer TC, Jensen TL, Bencke J, and Andersen LL. Kettlebell swing targets semitendinosus and supine leg curl targets biceps femoris: an EMG study with rehabilitation implications. *Br J Sports Med* 47: 1192-1198, 2013.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the participants for their positivity and participation in the study. This study was conducted without any funding from companies or manufacturers or outside organizations.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Lower and upper position in hip thrust (A and B), barbell deadlift (C and D) and hex bar deadlift (E and F)

Figure 2: Mean EMG activity (normalized to MVC) in gluteus maximus, biceps femoris, and erector spinae during barbell deadlift (□), hex bar deadlift (●) and hip thrust (○). Brackets indicate difference between exercise modalities (*p<0.05, **p<0.01). Values are means with 95% CI.

Figure 3: Mean EMG activity (normalized to MVC) in the lower and upper phase of the movement in gluteus maximus, biceps femoris, and erector spinae during barbell deadlift (□), hex bar deadlift (●) and hip thrust (○). Brackets indicate difference between exercise modalities (*p<0.05, **p<0.01) in the relevant phase. Values are means with 95% CI.

Figure 1

Figure 2



Figure 3